

Supplementary Table 2. Quality assessment of each eligible study according to the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale for case-control study and cohort study

Study	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Quality
Case-control study									
Saydah et al. (2003) [36]	b	a	a	a	ab	a	a	a	8
Wei et al. (2005) [37]	a	b	b	a	ab	a	a	a	7
Stocks et al. (2008) [42]	b	a	a	a	ab	a	a	a	8
Rinaldi et al. (2008) [38]	b	a	a	a	ab	a	a	a	8
Cohort study									
Lin et al. (2005) [44]	a	a	a	a	ab	d	a	d	7
Goto et al. (2016) [40]	a	a	a	a	ab	b	a	b	9
Peila et al. (2020) [41]	a	a	a	a	ab	b	a	d	8

Case-control study

For selection:

- Q1: Is the case definition adequate?
- a) yes, with independent validation ★ b) yes, e.g., record linkage or based on self-reports c) no description
- Q2: Representativeness of the cases
- a) consecutive or obviously representative series of cases ★ b) potential for selection biases or not stated
- Q3: Selection of controls
- a) community controls ★ b) hospital controls c) no description
- Q4: Definition of controls
- a) no history of disease (endpoint) ★ b) no description of source

For comparability:

- Q5: Comparability of cases and controls on the basis of the design or analysis
- a) study controls for age ★ b) study controls for any additional factor ★

For exposure:

Q6: Ascertainment of exposure

- a) secure record ★ b) structured interview where blind to case/control status ★
- c) interview not blinded to case/control status d) written self-report or medical record only
- e) no description
- Q7: Same method of ascertainment for cases and controls
- a) yes★ b) no
- Q8: Non-response rate
- a) same rate for both groups ★ b) non respondents described c) rate different and no designation

Cohort study

For selection:

- Q1: Representativeness of the exposed cohort
- a) truly representative of the average population in the community \bigstar b) somewhat representative of the average population in the community \bigstar c) selected group of users d) no description of the derivation of the cohort
- Q2: Selection of the non-exposed cohort
- a) drawn from the same community as the exposed cohort ★ b) drawn from a different source
- c) no description of the derivation of the non-exposed cohort
- Q3: Ascertainment of exposure
- a) secure record★ b) structured interview★
- c) written self-report d) no description
- Q4: Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study
- a) yes★ b) no

For comparability:

- Q5: Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis
- a) study controls for age ★ b) study controls for any additional factor ★

(Continued to the next page)



Supplementary Table 2. Continued

For outcome:

- Q6: Assessment of outcome
- a) independent blind assessment \star b) record linkage \star c) self-report d) no description
- Q7: Was follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur
- a) yes b) no
- Q8: Adequacy of follow up of cohorts
- a) complete follow up all subjects accounted for ★
- b) subjects lost to follow up unlikely to introduce bias small number lost > 80 % follow up, or description provided of those lost ★
- c) follow up rate < 80% and no description of those lost
- d) no state